



THE UNIVERSITY OF
NEWCASTLE
AUSTRALIA

**ADAPTIVE RETRACKING OF RADAR ALTIMETRY
WAVEFORMS OVER HETEROGENEOUS INLAND
WATERS**

Andrew Marshall

B. Surveying (University of NSW)
M. Surveying Science (University of NSW)

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree
of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment
The University of Newcastle, Australia

September 2020

This research was supported by an Australian Government Research Training
Program (RTP) Scholarship

DECLARATION

STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY

I hereby certify that the work embodied in the thesis is my own work, conducted under normal supervision. The thesis contains no material which has been accepted, or is being examined, for the award of any other degree or diploma in any university or other tertiary institution and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, contains no material previously published or written by another person, except where due reference has been made. I give consent to the final version of my thesis being made available worldwide when deposited in the University's Digital Repository, subject to the provisions of the Copyright Act 1968 and any approved embargo.

Andrew Marshall

31 August 2020

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF AUTHORSHIP

I hereby certify that the work embodied in this thesis contains published paper/s/scholarly work of which I am a joint author. I have included as part of the thesis a written declaration endorsed in writing by my supervisor, attesting to my contribution to the joint publication/s/scholarly work.

By signing below, I confirm that Andrew Marshall contributed as joint author to the paper entitled 'Image analysis for altimetry waveform selection over heterogeneous inland waters'.

Xiaoli Deng

31 August 2020

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I express gratitude to my principal supervisor, A/Prof Xiaoli Deng, for her support and encouragement during the period of my PhD candidature at the University of Newcastle. Her patience in guiding my research is greatly appreciated.

I acknowledge the European Space Agency for allowing open access to Envisat, SARAL and Cryosat-2 altimetry data and for support with data download and format interpretation.

To my colleagues at Ok Tedi Mining who have supported my work over many years, I am deeply grateful. Environment Department managers including Marshall Lee, Jim Veness, Dr Michael Ridd and Jesse Pile, have unreservedly supported my endeavours and research activities, and for that I am indebted. The field teams that have worked alongside me on the Fly River floodplain have contributed tirelessly under what is typically extremely difficult conditions. In particular, I would like to thank Nicko Paulus who has selflessly assisted my work over the past two decades. Special thanks go to the OTML Hydrology Section for meeting frequent data requests over an extended period and supplying quality hydrologic data that have been the key to the validation analyses undertaken in this study.

My work on the Fly River has been undertaken in conjunction with a diverse scientific team and I thank them all for their support. In particular, I thank Dr Geoff Pickup and Dr Michael Ridd, who have consistently supported my work and given invaluable advice regarding this research and the resulting thesis.

I am indebted to Jim Veness for his proofreading of this manuscript. His contribution to the grammar and style of the document is greatly appreciated.

Finally, I would like to acknowledge the love and support of my family. My wife Vanessa; my children Joshua, Philip, Thomas and Sarah; and my parents Donald and Annette. My family have unreservedly supported my research and the submission of this thesis would not have been possible without them. Thank you and I love you all very much.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DECLARATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	xxv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xxx
ABSTRACT.....	xxxii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Satellite radar altimetry: past, present and the future.....	1
1.2 Measurement of inland water surface elevation from satellite altimetry.....	3
1.2.1 The evolution of satellite altimetry technology for inland water applications	3
1.2.2 Rationale for the measurement of inland water surface elevation.....	5
1.2.3 Current satellite altimetry capabilities for inland water surface elevation measurement	6
1.3 Study domain	9
1.4 Significance of the research	13
1.5 Research objectives.....	16
1.6 Thesis outline	19
CHAPTER 2: SATELLITE ALTIMETRY	21
2.1 Satellite altimeters.....	21
2.1.1 Envisat RA-2.....	22
2.1.2 SARAL/AltiKa	23
2.1.3 Cryosat-2 SIRAL	26
2.2 Satellite altimetry—basic principle.....	28
2.2.1 Range corrections	30
2.2.2 Geophysical corrections.....	31
2.2.3 Dynamic sea surface height and water surface elevation	32
2.3 Altimetry data	33
CHAPTER 3: FIELDWORK AND IN-SITU DATA	35
3.1 Geodetic datums.....	35
3.2 In-situ river level gauges.....	36
3.3 In-situ floodplain water level gauges	39
3.3.1 Kemea Lagoon.....	43
3.3.2 Vataiva Lake	47
3.4 Summary	50
CHAPTER 4: ALTIMETRY FOOTPRINT LANDFORM CLASSIFICATION	51
4.1 Introduction.....	51
4.2 The rationale for altimetry footprint classification	52
4.3 Study area and data	53
4.4 Image analysis methodology.....	57
4.4.1 Landsat multispectral imagery—classification methodology and results	57
4.4.2 Envisat ASAR imagery—classification methodology and results	63
4.5 Calm water prediction using image analysis.....	65

4.6 Summary	69
CHAPTER 5: WAVEFORM RETRACKING	71
5.1 Physical and empirical model fitting retrackers.....	72
5.1.1 Brown–Hayne ocean model.....	72
5.1.2 Physical model variants	74
5.1.3 β -Parameter empirical model retracker	74
5.1.4 Model fitting methodologies.....	76
5.2 Empirical statistical retrackers	76
5.2.1 Offset Centre of Gravity (OCOG) Retracker.....	76
5.2.2 Threshold Retracker.....	79
5.2.3 Improved Threshold Retracker	81
5.3 Optimised retrackers	87
5.3.1 Current status	87
5.3.2 Optimised retrackers developed in this study	89
5.4 Summary	100
CHAPTER 6: WAVEFORM DISTORTIONS	103
6.1 Hooking.....	104
6.1.1 Existing methodologies for the correction of the hooking distortion	105
6.1.2 Forms of the hooking distortion.....	111
6.2 Waveform saturation.....	130
6.3 Waveform averaging.....	133
6.4 Summary	134
CHAPTER 7: WATeR—RETRACKING VALIDATION AND RESULTS.....	137
7.1 River water surface elevation validation and results	142
7.1.1 Envisat pass 0004 Fly River crossing—ARM332.....	142
7.1.2 Envisat and SARAL pass 0677 Fly River crossing—ARM305 to ARM311...	150
7.1.3 Envisat pass 0004 (new orbit) Fly River crossing—ARM218.....	156
7.1.4 Envisat pass 0004 Fly River crossing—ARM410.....	160
7.2 Lake water surface elevation validation and results	163
7.2.1 Envisat and SARAL pass 0677—Vataiva Lake	163
7.2.2 Envisat pass 0004 (new orbit)—Lake Murray water surface elevation profile	167
7.2.3 Cryosat-2—Fly River floodplain water surface elevation profile	173
7.3 Summary	175
CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	179
8.1 Research results.....	180
8.1.1 Altimetry footprint landform classification	180
8.1.2 Sub-waveform selection for the Improved Threshold Retracker.....	181
8.1.3 Waveform Adaptive Threshold Retracker	182
8.1.4 Waveform hooking	182
8.1.5 Waveform saturation.....	183
8.2 Results from the WATeR altimetry retracking process	184
8.3 Recommendations for future research	187
8.4 Proposed scientific exploitation of the altimetric data.....	190
REFERENCES.....	193

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Satellite radar altimetry systems from Skylab in 1973 to the Jason-CS/Sentinel-6 satellites planned for 2020 and 2025 and the SWOT mission planned for 2021 (PODAAC, 2019).	2
Figure 1-2 Improvements in orbit determination over the past 30 years (Rosmorduc et al., 2018).	3
Figure 1-3 The study domain of the Fly River floodplain and Lake Murray, circled in red. The study area is located within the Western Province of PNG (ezilonMaps, 2009).	9
Figure 1-4 The Fly River floodplain and Lake Murray study domain. The background is a false colour Landsat TM5 image from February 2004.	10
Figure 1-5 Lowland tropical rainforest colonises a large portion of the inundated floodplain through the upper-middle Fly reach. The forest has been affected by an increase in inundation frequency and duration linked to upstream mining activities.	11
Figure 1-6 At the edge of the floodplain there is a rapid transition from an inundated zone colonised by aquatic grasses to a zone of lowland tropical rainforest established along a relatively low and flat region of higher ground.	11
Figure 1-7 A complex mix of floodplain forest and grass savannahs characterises the transition between upper- and lower-middle Fly in the northern half of the study area.	11
Figure 1-8 The lower reaches of the middle Fly floodplain show extensive zones of open water along with isolated zones of lowland tropical rainforest colonising the higher ground and aquatic grasses colonising shallow inundated areas.	11
Figure 1-9 ALOS World 3D DSM of the middle Fly floodplain and Lake Murray showing elevation variations between the floodplain and the bordering higher ground to be less than 50 m.	13
Figure 1-10 SARAL pass 0677 cycle 24 from 20 June 2015 overlayed on a Landsat ETM7 false colour image captured in October 2002 during an El Niño event. To the east of the Fly River are two major blocked-valley lakes. The northern lake has drained to the Fly River, as expected during an El Niño; however, the southern lake has a blocked tie channel so a riverine gauge	

would not be representative of changes in water body inundation for this location.....	14
Figure 2-1 A schematic diagram of the Envisat satellite detailing the instrument payload including the RA-2 altimeter (AVISO+, 2020).....	22
Figure 2-2 The SARAL satellite showing the instrument payload including the AltiKa altimeter (Verron et al., 2015).	23
Figure 2-3 The waveform sequence without automatic gain control (AGC) correction from SARAL pass 0677 cycle 24 at 7.578°S 141.340°E. Footprint classifications are based on the methodology developed in Chapter 4. Saturated SARAL/AltiKa waveforms are evident at water sites (waveforms 3, 6, 7 and 8), returning the maximum 1250 counts, and at inundated vegetation sites (waveform 3) where the waveform also saturates at the maximum 1250 counts. These sites are locations where specular reflectors at nadir are expected. The background image is a SPOT7 true colour image captured in September 2015.	26
Figure 2-4 The Cryosat-2 satellite with the instrument payload including the dual SIRAL antenna configuration (ESA, 2020a).	27
Figure 2-5 The principle of satellite radar altimetry with the definition of reference surfaces, measurements and required corrections; modified from Abazu et al. (2017).	28
Figure 3-1 Locations of the OTML river gauging sites on the lower Ok Tedi and Fly rivers in PNG. The primary river level gauges used are Kiunga (FLY05), Manda (FLY16 and FLY17) and Obo (FLY15). The background image is a false colour extract from Landsat TM5 acquired during a period of moderate floodplain inundation in February 2004.	37
Figure 3-2 River level records for Kiunga (FLY05), Manda (FLY16 & FLY17) and Obo (FLY15) referenced to OHD.	38
Figure 3-3 The locations of the Manda (FLY17) and Obo (FLY15) river level gauges relative the Kemea Lagoon and Vataiva Lake floodplain sites. The location of Envisat and SARAL ascending pass 0677 is shown against a Landsat ETM7 false colour image acquired on 9 December 2000 at high floodplain inundation.	40

Figure 3-4 Securing the water level logger and protective PVC housing to a star picket before deployment. A retrieval line was secured to a tree in case retrieval based on site coordinates failed.	42
Figure 3-5 Final configuration of the water level recorder, PVC protective housing and star picket at the Kemea Lagoon site.	42
Figure 3-6 Geodetic surveys designed to transfer water level from the Obo Station reference site. Leica system 1200+ GNSS receivers were used with orthometric heights derived from the PNG (Kearsley) geoid model.	42
Figure 3-7 Retrieval of the water level logger at Kemea Lagoon after a 12-month deployment.	42
Figure 3-8 Obo Station hydrology gauging station with the barometric logger deployed in the site hut. The Obo Station Permanent Survey Mark was used as the OHD reference for the floodplain gauges.	43
Figure 3-9 Vataiva Lake geodetic survey with direct measurement of WSE required because of the lack of dry ground in the vicinity of the site.	43
Figure 3-10 Kemea Lagoon is located on the eastern floodplain of the Fly River in a zone of permanent inundation except in periods of drought.	43
Figure 3-11 Kemea Lagoon floodplain location with sensor deployment at a depth of approximately 2.5 m.	43
Figure 3-12 The Kemea Lagoon logger site at latitude 7.3022°S and longitude 141.2411°E on the east bank floodplain of the Fly River. The lake is connected to the floodplain via breaches and tie channels at ARM265 and ARM249.	44
Figure 3-13 Manda (FLY17) river level and Kemea Lagoon WSE records for the period of deployment of the floodplain logger (2011–12). The Manda gauge is located approximately 40 nm upstream of Kemea Lagoon.	44
Figure 3-14 The floodplain WSE dynamics at Kemea Lagoon for varying Fly River stage heights. The predominant flow direction under high flow conditions is via a connection to ARM265, and under low flow conditions via ARM249. The background is a false colour image from Landsat TM5 acquired during a period of high floodplain inundation in March 2009.	45
Figure 3-15 The relationship between the FLY17 river level and Kemea Lagoon WSE. There is a moderate correlation ($R = 0.88$) between the two sites at median river level and relatively high correlation ($R = 0.98$) at higher river levels.	46

Figure 3-16 The Kemea Lagoon observed water level record and the two derived records based on FLY17 data with floodplain connections via ARM265 for high river levels and via ARM249 at low river level.	46
Figure 3-17 Vataiva Lake located on the western floodplain of the Fly River, approximately 9 km from the Fly River.	47
Figure 3-18 The Vataiva Lake site with logger deployment at a depth of approximately 2.1 m.	47
Figure 3-19 The Vataiva Lake logger site at latitude 7.5103°S and longitude 141.1803°E on the west bank floodplain of the Fly River.	47
Figure 3-20 Obo (FLY15) and Vataiva Lake water level records for the period of July 2011 to June 2012. The Obo river level gauging site is located approximately 10 nm downstream of the Vataiva Lake site.	48
Figure 3-21 The relationship between the Obo (FLY15) river level and Vataiva Lake WSEs showing high correlation ($R = 0.99$) between WSEs over the majority of the inundation range. This relationship facilitates the creation of a virtual gauge at Vataiva Lake for the verification of Envisat RA-2 and SARAL/AltiKa altimetric WSEs.	49
Figure 3-22 Vataiva Lake and the virtual ARM228 WSE gauge for the period of deployment. The corrected record correlates well with the observed floodplain water level at medium-to-high inundation levels; however, there is a minor unresolved latency evident at very low floodplain inundation levels.	49
Figure 4-1 Envisat RA-2 waveforms for pass 0677 cycle 077 (26 March 2009) over the Fly River floodplain between latitude 7.0794°S and 6.8052°S. The background is a false colour image from Landsat TM5 acquired on 29 March 2009.	55
Figure 4-2 Envisat RA-2 waveforms for pass 0677 cycle 077 (26 March 2009) between 7.0794°S and 6.8052°S. Floodplain inundation levels were high at the time of the altimeter pass. (Waveform power has been stretched to the range 0–1000). Most waveforms are moderate- to high-power quasi-specular returns and there is no evidence of any significant hooking in the waveform sequence.	55
Figure 4-3 Envisat RA-2 waveforms for pass 0677 cycle 010 (24 October 2002) between 7.0794°S and 6.8052°S. (Waveform power has been stretched to	

the range 0–1000 to emphasise the structure of the lower-power returns).	
Floodplain inundation levels were low at the time of the altimeter pass.....	55
Figure 4-4 Envisat RA-2 waveforms (right panel) for pass 0677 cycle 010 (left panel) acquired on 24 October 2002 at the northern end of the study area. The existence of moderate- to high-power quasi-specular returns indicates the potential for a hooking event; however, the return may also be nadir returns from the exposed mudflats at locations 70–73. The background is a false colour image from Landsat ETM7 acquired on 28 October 2002.....	56
Figure 4-5 Lake Daviumbu, (located in the south-west of the Fly River floodplain) during a high inundation period in July 2011.....	56
Figure 4-6 The exposed mudflats of Lake Daviumbu during the 2015 El Niño.....	56
Figure 4-7 Image kernel extract locations (left panel) from Landsat ETM7 (28 October 2002) with associated image kernel extracts in the right panel. Envisat RA-2 waveform locations are from 24 October 2002. Returns 15, 17, 18, 19 and 20 show some degree of water within the captured image kernel, while return 16 represents a vegetated dry floodplain and return 21 a bare dry floodplain.....	58
Figure 4-8 Envisat RA-2 waveform locations are from 26 March 2009. Image kernel extract locations from Landsat TM5 (29 March 2009) with associated image kernel extracts are in the right panel. Waveform 21 footprint is inundated while returns 16 and 19 are from an inundated floodplain with partial vegetation cover.....	58
Figure 4-9 NIR intensity and NDVI extracted from Landsat ETM7 (28 October 2002) for Envisat pass 0677 cycle 10 altimetry footprint classification. The dashed line defines the threshold for NIR intensity, 100 on the left axis, and for NDVI, –0.1 on the right axis. NIR less than the defined threshold indicates inundation and NDVI greater than the defined threshold indicates vegetation.....	59
Figure 4-10 NIR intensity and NDVI extracted from Landsat TM5 (29 March 2009) for Envisat pass 0677 cycle 77 altimetry footprint classification. The dashed line defines the threshold for NIR intensity, 100 on the left axis, and for NDVI, 0.1 on the right axis. NIR less than the defined threshold indicates inundation and NDVI greater than the defined threshold indicates vegetation.....	59

Figure 4-11 Results of the Envisat RA-2 18-Hz waveform inundation classification (pass 0677, cycle 10) from the Landsat ETM7 image acquired 28 October 2002. Waveforms over open water (white marker) and over water with partial vegetation cover (green marker) are identified.....	61
Figure 4-12 Results of the Envisat RA-2 18-Hz waveform inundation classification (pass 0677, cycle 77) from the Landsat TM5 image acquired 29 March 2009. Waveforms over open water (white marker) and over water with partial vegetation cover (green marker) are identified.....	61
Figure 4-13 Results of the Envisat RA-2 18-Hz altimetry footprint classification for pass 0677, cycle 10 derived from the Landsat ETM7 image acquired 28 October 2002. Waveform locations are identified by the markers on the waveform track with classification identified by colour.	63
Figure 4-14 Results of the Envisat RA-2 18-Hz altimetry footprint classification for pass 0677, cycle 77 derived from the Landsat TM5 image acquired 29 March 2009. Waveform locations are identified by the markers on the waveform track with classification identified by colour.	63
Figure 4-15 Image kernel extract locations (left panel) from Envisat ASAR (4 April 2005) with associated image kernel extracts in the right panel. The return for waveform 15 is located within the Fly River main channel, with the remaining returns being from an inundated but partially vegetated floodplain.	65
Figure 4-16 Results of the Envisat RA-2 18-Hz waveform classification (pass 0677, cycle 36) from the Envisat ASAR image acquired 4 April 2005. Waveforms over open water (white marker) and over water with partial vegetation cover (green marker) are identified.	65
Figure 4-17 The methodology for the construction of a Spiral of Theodorus commencing with a right-angled triangle with legs of length i and ending at the n th triangle with leg lengths of i and $i\sqrt{n}$. The spacing of the spiral nodes and the separation of the spirals is governed by the scaling factor i	66
Figure 4-18 Altimetry sequence over Lake Murray from Envisat RA-2 in descending pass 004 cycle 113 captured on 21 March 2012 with a false colour image background captured by Landsat TM5 in April 2011. Specular waveforms are shown with a red cross and the predicted closest calm water site is identified using a Spiral of Theodorus search.	67

Figure 4-19 The multi-peaked waveform acquired at the origin for the calm water search of Figure 4-18. Based on WSE estimates calculated for quasi-specular returns leading into and out of the lake crossing, it was determined that this waveform did not contain a peak relating to a nadir reflection and that all peaks were from off-nadir reflectors.	67
Figure 4-20 Altimetry sequence leading into the upper reaches of Lake Murray for a Cryosat-2 SIRAL (LRM) ascending pass captured on 7 March 2011 with a false colour image background captured by Landsat TM5 in April 2011. Specular waveforms are shown with a red cross and the predicted closest zone of inundated vegetation is identified, in this case along track.	69
Figure 4-21 Altimetry sequence leading into the upper reaches of Lake Murray for a Cryosat-2 SIRAL (LRM) ascending pass captured on 7 March 2011 with a false colour image background captured by Landsat TM5 in April 2011. Specular waveforms are shown with a red cross and the predicted closest open water site is located.	69
Figure 5-1 Brown–Hayne ocean waveform shape and Brown–Hayne model shape parameters, adapted from Gommenginger et al. (2010) and Passaro et al. (2014). P_u can be converted into the backscatter coefficient (σ^0) using instrument calibrations and significant wave height (SWH) is derived from σ_s . τ is the time measured at the satellite such that $t = t_0$ corresponds to the arrival time of the half power point of the radar return.	73
Figure 5-2 β -Parameter (single ramp) retracking algorithm functional elements. Parameters β_2 – β_5 are repeated for the second ramp in the nine-parameter version.	75
Figure 5-3 OCOG pulse shape parameters; pulse amplitude (A) and pulse width (W). The centre of gravity (COG) and leading-edge position gate (G_{LEP}) are also identified.	77
Figure 5-4 A typical Envisat RA-2 quasi-specular waveform with the OCOG-defined LEP correctly located on the leading edge.	78
Figure 5-5 An Envisat RA-2 flat-patch waveform with a decaying trailing edge. The OCOG-defined LEP position is offset from the actual leading edge because of the bias induced by the location of the waveform COG.	78

Figure 5-6 An Envisat RA-2 flat-patch waveform with a decaying trailing edge. The 25% Threshold Retracker-defined LEP position is correctly located on the actual leading edge of the waveform.	80
Figure 5-7 A multi-peaked waveform with the 25% Threshold Retracker defining the LEP position on the leading edge of the first peak. While the first peak is the likely nadir return it is not the maximum return which is likely to be from an off-nadir specular reflector.	81
Figure 5-8 A multi-peaked waveform with the 50% Threshold Retracker defining the LEP position on the leading edge of the second peak. It is necessary to select a threshold level that is likely to use the nadir return for WSE estimation over the range of typical waveform shapes expected in the study area.	81
Figure 5-9 Flowchart of the sub-waveform selection methodology developed by Hwang et al. (2006), with significant contributions from Bao et al. (2008) and Yang et al. (2012). Advances developed in this study related to the sub-waveform extent and classification of sub-waveform peak significance, are incorporated into the workflow. Parameters ε_1 and ε_2 are empirical threshold values, calculated as $0.2S_{d2}$ and $0.2S_{d1}$ respectively.	85
Figure 5-10 A multi-peaked waveform captured over the Fly River floodplain from Envisat RA-2 in March 2012. Six statistically valid peaks are identified of which three are identified as major peaks. Peaks were identified using the sub-waveform identification methodology detailed in Figure 5-9.	85
Figure 5-11 Sub-waveform extracts of the major peaks identified in Figure 5-10. These sub-waveforms are retracked using the Improved Threshold Retracker with outputs of WSE that can be assessed against a reference for the selection of the valid nadir reflection.	86
Figure 5-12 The sub-waveform selection methodology developed as part of this study. The process selects a sub-waveform corresponding to a nadir return as defined by a WSE derived from a neighbouring quasi-specular waveform originating from a calm water source. Where there is no candidate reference waveform or where hooking is identified, the first and maximum major peaks are the sub-waveforms extracted for retracking.	91
Figure 5-13 The WATeR workflow for the pre-processing phase where initial nadir WSE estimates, waveform shape and the nadir footprint classification are extracted. The second iteration process (cf. Figures 5-14–5-16) uses	

different processes as a function of inundation extent and altimetry footprint class types as defined in Table 5-2.	93
Figure 5-14 WATeR workflow for the second iteration in the retracking process for an open water footprint classification.....	96
Figure 5-15 WATeR workflow for the second iteration in the retracking process for inundated vegetation or inundated land footprint classification.	97
Figure 5-16 WATeR workflow for the second iteration in the retracking process for a footprint classification comprising dense vegetation, sparse vegetation or bare ground.	98
Figure 6-1 The principle of migration, adapted from Frappart et al. (2006).	106
Figure 6-2 Schematic diagram showing the off-nadir distortion in along-track height profiles resulting from a hooking sequence caused by the altimeter locking onto a specular reflector within the radar footprint as it passes over an inland water body. The vertical blue dashed lines show the effect of the hooked range to the off-nadir reflector on the derived WSE. The hyperbolic shape of the WSE profile is shown as a solid blue curve.	107
Figure 6-3 Schematic diagram showing the corrected distance to the likely off-track water surface reflector. β is the angle between the altimetry track and the direction to the water body The distance $\Delta S'_{i+1}$ is used in Equations 6-3, 6-4, 6-6 and 6-7 replacing ΔS_{i+1}	110
Figure 6-4 SARAL ascending pass 0677 from 20 June 2015. South to north pass over the Fly River floodplain with a crossing of the river at 7.6505°S 141.3564°E. The background is a Landsat OLI8 false colour image from September 2015 acquired during an El Niño event. Red dots are waveforms located within the river crossing.....	114
Figure 6-5 Select cycle 24 SARAL/AltiKa waveforms acquired on 20 June 2015. A secondary peak is evident in waveform 1 and this peak increases in intensity through waveforms 2–5 as the satellite tracks towards the Fly River. This peak becomes the only remaining peak by waveform 6 and remains as a quasi-specular return until it becomes a saturated return at the river.	114
Figure 6-6 The along-track waveform sequence from the same SARAL pass shown in Figure 6-4 with the waveform power stretched to range 0–250 to highlight the hooking hyperbolae within the waveform sequence centred around the	

river crossing. The arrow at the base of this figure indicates the direction of flight and corresponds to the extent of coverage in Figure 6-4.	115
Figure 6-7 The WSE along-track profile from the same SARAL pass shown in Figure 6-4. The waveform data were retracked using the Improved Threshold Retracker with the maximum power peak selected for retracking. This effectively eliminated the low-power nadir return and used the high-power hooked return for WSE definition. The correct WSE is represented by the apex of the hooking hyperbola within the altimetric WSE profile.	115
Figure 6-8 The WSE along-track profile from the same SARAL pass shown in Figure 6-4. The waveform data were retracked using the Improved Threshold Retracker with the first peak selected for retracking. While the extent of hooking is reduced compared with retracking using the maximum power peak, the distortion cannot be eliminated from the point where the specular reflector echo becomes quasi-specular with no nadir echo return.	116
Figure 6-9 Envisat RA-2 waveforms from ascending pass 0677 cycle 102 acquired on 26 March 2009. At the time of the altimetry pass, the floodplain inundation levels were high. The background image is a Landsat TM5 false colour image acquired on 29 March 2009. Hooking has occurred as evidenced by high-powered specular returns over non-inundated sites.	117
Figure 6-10 The full Envisat RA-2 waveform sequence for the zone covered in Figure 6-9. There is no evidence of hooking hyperbolae within the waveform profile and the majority of waveforms from the headwaters of the blocked-valley lake are characterised by high-powered specular returns.	118
Figure 6-11 The derived altimetric WSE profile for the zone covered in Figure 6-9. The markers on the WSE profile are consistent with those in Figure 6-9 and show the classification of the nadir altimetry footprint. There is evidence of a hooking hyperbola within the profile leading into the headwaters of the blocked-valley lake but there is no readily apparent systematic effect through the remainder of the profile.	119
Figure 6-12 Envisat RA-2 select waveforms from descending pass 0004 cycle 102 acquired on 26 April 2011. The background image is a Landsat TM5 false colour image acquired on 12 February 2004 with inundation levels consistent with those of the altimetry pass. Locations A–C identify the main transition zones that will impact waveform shape and magnitude.	119

Figure 6-13 The track of the Envisat pass shown in Figure 6-12 as an overlay on a Sentinel-2 SAR image (VV polarisation) over Lake Murray acquired in August 2019. Zones of calm water are shown in dark blue and zones of rough water, characterised by an elevated SAR backscatter coefficient, are light blue.	120
Figure 6-14 The full Envisat RA-2 waveform sequence for the zone covered in Figure 6-12. There is no evidence of hooking hyperbolae within the waveform profile, although the waveforms leading into the lake zone (A) are multi-peaked. There is a relatively even mix of lower-powered multi-peaked waveforms and moderate- to high-powered quasi-specular returns through the remainder of the coverage.....	121
Figure 6-15 The derived altimetric WSE profile for the zone covered in Figure 6-12. The markers on the WSE profile are consistent with those in Figure 6-12 and show the classification of the nadir altimetry footprint. There are two edges of significant hooking hyperbolae leading into the lake system and a mix of minor systematic effects of approximately 10 cm in magnitude through the rest of the sequence. These systematic effects contribute towards a SD of ± 6.2 cm for the WSE estimates located between A and C, as defined in Figure 6-12. Locations of minor hooking at the centre of the water crossings are identified in the figure.	122
Figure 6-16 A photograph taken from the bank of the Fly River with demarcation of a calm water zone located adjacent to the levee and a rough zone at the centre of the 300-m-wide channel where the current is strongest.	123
Figure 6-17 Sentinel-2 SAR image (VV polarisation) acquired over the Fly River in August 2019. Zones of calm water are shown as dark blue while zones of rough water with elevated SAR backscatter are in light blue. Where the river flows into the south-east prevailing wind, elevated SAR backscatter is evident.....	124
Figure 6-18 Sentinel-2 SAR image (VV polarisation) acquired over Lake Murray in August 2019. Zones of calm water are shown as dark blue while zones of rough water characterised by elevated SAR backscatter are in light blue.....	124
Figure 6-19 Cryosat-2 descending LRM pass over Lake Murray acquired on 13 June 2012 during a period of median inundation. The background image	

is a false colour Landsat TM5 image acquired on 29 March 2009 during similar inundation levels. Specular returns are highlighted with a red cross. ..	125
Figure 6-20 The altimetric WSE profile derived for the coverage shown in Figure 6-19. Hooking during the water transition is characterised by two halves of hooking hyperbolae, identified over the open water zones, where the altimeter switched from tracking bright targets on the northern shoreline to bright targets on the southern shoreline at approximately the midpoint of the water transition.	126
Figure 6-21 Cryosat-2 ascending LRM pass over Lake Murray acquired on 7 March 2011 during a period of high inundation. The background image is a false colour Landsat TM5 image acquired 4 April 2011. Specular returns are highlighted with a red cross.	127
Figure 6-22 The altimetric WSE profile for the coverage shown in Figure 6-21. A quasi-specular specular sequence leading into the lake through a predicted calm water zone demonstrates consistency in derived WSE estimates. Through the open water zones, the derived WSEs exhibit increased noise with no evidence of systematic hooking. Quasi-specular waveforms located towards the centre of the lake likely originate from a small calm water zone in close proximity to the pass.	127
Figure 6-23 SARAL/AltiKa ascending pass 0677 cycle 24 acquired on 20 June 2015. The waveforms identified within the red circle are those that are used by the WATeR altimetry retracking process for the averaged lake WSE. The background image is a Landsat OLI8 false colour image acquired on 25 January 2015.	129
Figure 6-24 The WSE profile derived using the Threshold Retracker for the coverage shown in Figure 6-23. For the lake crossing there are two parts to the WSE profile. The northern zone has a low backscatter coefficient, indicating increased surface roughness, and the southern zone has a high backscatter coefficient, indicating a specular reflecting surface. Only the waveforms circled in red can be confirmed as being unaffected by waveform saturation and originating from a calm water reflector without significant hooking contamination.	129
Figure 6-25 A SARAL/AltiKa saturated waveform consisting of a single peak saturated at count 1250 extending over four gates.	131

Figure 6-26 A SARAL/AltiKa saturated multi-peaked waveform consisting of an initial peak saturated at count 1250 extending over two gates and a secondary peak terminating at count 1249.	131
Figure 6-27 SARAL cycle 001 from ascending pass 0677 on 6 April 2013 as an overlay on a Landsat LC8 false colour image acquired in February 2014 under similar inundation conditions. The locations of the saturated SARAL/AltiKa waveforms are highlighted.	132
Figure 6-28 The derived WSE profile from the altimetry pass shown in Figure 6-27 highlighting WSEs derived from saturated waveforms as well as the location and WSE of non-saturated specular waveforms. The number of gates affected in the saturated waveforms is also shown.....	133
Figure 7-1 Satellite altimeter passes over the study area. Validation is undertaken using Envisat and SARAL passes 0004 and 0677, as well as Envisat pass 0004 (new orbit). WATeR retracking validation sites are ARM218, ARM307, ARM332 and Vataiva Lake. In-situ gauge locations are shown in Figure 3-1.	137
Figure 7-2 Fly river water WSE time series derived from the FLY17 in-situ gauge at Manda, located in the approximate centre of the study area. Relatively cloud-free Landsat images have been identified and their capture date and associated river WSE at the time of capture identified on the plot. The WSE range has been broken into high, median and low flow conditions, as identified by the dotted red lines, with image scenes assigned to the different flow ranges as a function of river level elevation at the time of capture. The plot shows that the majority of the expected inundation range is covered by the imagery. Extreme low flow conditions occur infrequently during extended drier-than-average periods, including during El Niño climatic events.	138
Figure 7-3 Landsat OLI8 22 September 2015—extreme low flow primary footprint classification image (El Niño conditions).....	139
Figure 7-4 Landsat ETM7 28 October 2002—low flow primary footprint classification image.....	139
Figure 7-5 Landsat TM5 19 January 2007—median flow primary footprint classification image.....	140

Figure 7-6 Landsat TM5 19 January 2007—median flow primary footprint classification image.....	140
Figure 7-7 Landsat TM5 29 March 2009—Envisat and SARAL pass 0677 and Cryosat-2 high flow footprint classification image.	140
Figure 7-8 Landsat TM5 4 April 2011—Envisat and SARAL pass 0004 and Envisat pass 0004 (new orbit) high flow footprint classification image.	140
Figure 7-9 Hydroweb WSE time series for the 6.854°S and 140.914°E crossing of Envisat pass 0004 of the Fly River (Theia, 2020). The WSE in the plot is the orthometric height relative to the EGM96 geoid.	142
Figure 7-10 The crossing of the Fly River by Envisat descending pass 0004 at 6.854°S and 140.914°E, located at ARM332 on the Fly River. The crossing is at a 250-m-wide section of the river with orientation towards the south-east. Displayed is cycle 24 acquired on 3 February 2004 with a Landsat TM5 false colour background image acquired 12 February 2004.....	143
Figure 7-11 Transformation of the FLY17 in-situ gauge WSE time series for the Envisat pass 0004 crossing of the Fly River to create the ARM332 virtual gauge. The transformation is achieved by applying an overall elevation and temporal shift such that the resulting RMSE between the two data sets is minimised. The transformation resulted in an RMSE of 37.6 cm on comparison of the two data sets.....	144
Figure 7-12 The Hydroweb WSE profile derived from Envisat RA-2 at ARM332 on the Fly River along with the ARM332 virtual gauge WSE profile. The approximate overbank elevation is shown as the dashed line.	145
Figure 7-13 Waveform locations for Envisat RA-2 data for descending pass 0004 cycle 46 acquired on 14 March 2006. High-powered quasi-specular waveforms are evident over a zone where river levels are high, and the floodplain inundated.....	146
Figure 7-14 Waveform locations for Envisat RA-2 data for descending pass 0004 cycle 32 acquired on 9 November 2004. Multi-peaked waveforms with a dominant peak towards the end of the waveform peak sequence are evident over a zone where river levels were low, and the floodplain exposed.	146
Figure 7-15 The WATeR WSE profile derived from Envisat RA-2 for descending pass 0004 at ARM332 on the Fly River, using the methodology developed for	

this study along with the ARM332 virtual gauge WSE time series. The approximate overbank elevation is shown as the dashed line.	148
Figure 7-16 WATeR and Hydroweb WSE profiles derived from Envisat RA-2 data for descending pass 0004 at ARM332 for the 2002 El Niño. Relatively good agreement between the surveyed WSE on 26 September 2002 (shown as the vertical red line) and the WATeR altimetric WSE on 1 October 2002 validates the WATeR retracking methodology for low flow conditions.....	149
Figure 7-17 Envisat RA-2 and SARAL/AltiKa waveform locations for ascending pass 0677, located between ARM305 and ARM311 on the Fly River. There are three crossings of the river with channel width ranging from 200 to 250 m. The river is oriented towards the south-east for crossings 1 and 3 and to the west for crossing 2. Displayed is cycle 30 acquired on 23 September 2004 with a Landsat TM5 false colour background image acquired 19 January 2007.	151
Figure 7-18 Transformation of the FLY17 WSE record from the in-situ gauge at Manda Village for the Envisat pass 0677 crossings of the Fly River between ARM305 to ARM311. The transformation is achieved by applying an overall elevation and temporal shift such that the resulting RMSE between the two data sets is minimised. The transformation resulted in an RMSE of 10.0 cm in a comparison of the two data sets, which is consistent with the estimated uncertainty of the river slope as a function of stage height.	152
Figure 7-19 Envisat RA-2 cycles from ascending pass 0677 acquired between 11 July 2002 and 7 October 2010.	153
Figure 7-20 SARAL/AltiKa cycles acquired between 6 April 2013 and 30 April 2016. Cycles that drifted from the theoretical track have been omitted.	153
Figure 7-21 The composite WSE time series derived from Envisat RA-2 and SARAL/AltiKa using the WATeR retracking methodology for the 14 years from 2002 to 2016 for the three pass 0677 crossings of the Fly River. The derived RMSE based on comparison with the virtual gauge was 10.0 cm and 14.9 cm for Envisat RA-2 and SARAL/AltiKa respectively. Consistent extraction of valid WSEs at high-to-low flows is demonstrated for both Envisat RA-2 and SARAL/AltiKa. The ability to extract valid WSEs during periods of extreme low water is demonstrated for Envisat RA-2 during the	

El Niño of 2002 and low water conditions evident in 2004 and 2006 and for SARAL/AltiKa during the El Niño of 2015.	155
Figure 7-22 Envisat descending pass 0004 crossing the Fly River at 7.583°S and 141.330°E located at ARM218 on the Fly River. Cycles acquired between October 2010 and March 2012 are identified, along with the location of the theoretical track and the FLY15 in-situ gauge. The background is a Landsat TM5 image acquired 12 February 2004 during a period of median floodplain inundation.	157
Figure 7-23 An aerial photograph of Obo Station at ARM218 on the Fly River, acquired on 6 September 2012. The roughness of the water surface upstream of Obo Station compared with the downstream zone, where the majority of cycles are located, indicates that there is low likelihood of hooking from locations over the main stem to specular reflectors within the floodplain.	158
Figure 7-24 The FLY15 in-situ WSE record along with the single survey calibration WSE for the validation period. The average overbank elevation indicates that the floodplain is likely to be inundated for a significant proportion of the time. Altimetric data were acquired over the full range of stage heights excluding the extreme low water events associated with El Niño climatic conditions.	159
Figure 7-25 The WATeR WSE derived from Envisat RA-2 pass 0004 (new orbit) crossing of the Fly River at ARM218 and the FLY15 in-situ gauge WSE record. The data cover the significantly high water events that were evident in April 2011 as well as relatively low levels that were evident in September 2011.	160
Figure 7-26 Envisat descending pass 0004 crossing the Fly River in the upper reaches of the middle Fly at ARM410 where average river width is 300 m; at the crossing site it drops to around 200–250 m. In-situ gauge FLY10 is located at ARM435, approximately 45 km upstream.	161
Figure 7-27 The ARM410 virtual gauge, WSE survey measurements and the derived altimetry WSE time series from Envisat RA-2 descending pass 0004 crossing the Fly River at ARM410. While lacking the short-term variability of the in-situ gauge, the altimetry WSE time series successfully identifies the major changes in WSE as well as the average river level rise (black	

dotted line in the time series) observed between 2000 and 2010. The derived WSE time series also correctly identifies the low water events that occurred in 2002, 2004 and 2006 with WSE time series consistent with those derived in Figures 7-15 and 7-21.....	162
Figure 7-28 Vataiva Lake at high inundation with the location of Envisat pass 0677 and the River & Lake WSE locations. The background image is a false colour Landsat TM5 image captured on 29 March 2009.....	164
Figure 7-29 Vataiva Lake at median inundation with the location of Envisat pass 0677 and the River & Lake WSE locations. The northern sites of the WSE cluster are within a linked but separate lake system. The background image is a false colour Landsat TM5 image captured on 19 January 2007.....	164
Figure 7-30 The River & Lake WSE profile derived from Envisat RA-2 ascending pass 0677 at Vataiva Lake along with the Vataiva Lake virtual gauge WSE time series, which was derived from the FLY15 main stem in-situ gauge. Dotted lines indicate periods in which cycles were omitted from the River & Lake analysis.....	165
Figure 7-31 Envisat cycles from ascending pass 0677 acquired between 11 July 2002 and 7 October 2010 over Vataiva Lake. All 85 Envisat cycles are processed. The background is an ETM7 false colour image acquired on 28 March 2000 during a period of high floodplain inundation.	165
Figure 7-32 SARAL cycles acquired between 6 April 2013 and 30 April 2016. Cycles that drifted from the theoretical track, as well as one rain-affected cycle, have been omitted. A total of 27 cycles are processed in these analyses.	165
Figure 7-33 The combined Envisat RA-2 and SARAL/AltiKa WSE time series derived using the WATeR altimetry retracking process. The Envisat RA-2 cycles recorded at high water levels from May 2003, April 2004, April 2005 and January 2006, that were omitted from the River & Lake time series, have been retracked in these analyses. Dotted lines indicate cycles where the SARAL/AltiKa altimeter has drifted from the theoretical track and does not pass over Vataiva Lake.	166
Figure 7-34 Envisat descending pass 0004 (new orbit) crossing over Lake Murray. The satellite passes over three inlets of Lake Murray with the lateral cycle spread being approximately 6 km for the 15 acquired cycles. The background is a	

Landsat TM5 false colour image acquired on 29 March 2009 during a period of high inundation.....	168
Figure 7-35 Waveform locations (shown as red dots) for the waveforms used in the WATeR altimetry retracking of the 15 Envisat RA-2 cycles over Lake Murray.	169
Figure 7-36 Envisat RA-2 descending pass 0004 (new orbit) cycle 111 acquired on 21 January 2012. Altimetry footprint classifications are highlighted.....	169
Figure 7-37 WSE profile for Envisat RA-2 descending pass 0004 (new orbit) cycle 111 acquired on 21 January 2012 over Lake Murray. Significant hooking hyperbolae are evident in the WSE profile derived using the Threshold Retracker. The hyperbolae were used to derive WSE estimates in the WATeR altimetry retracking process and, although the waveform locations within Figure 7-35 are not highlighted, these hooked waveforms contributed to a valid WSE estimate located at the land–water interface.....	170
Figure 7-38 WATeR WSE profiles for each of the 15 cycles crossing Lake Murray acquired by Envisat RA-2 on descending pass 0004 (new orbit) between October 2010 and March 2012.	170
Figure 7-39 WSE profiles for Envisat RA-2 descending pass 0004 (new orbit) for cycle 97 acquired 27 November 2011 over Lake Murray. The WSE record has been derived using geoid models EGM96, PNG (Kearsley) and EGM2008.	171
Figure 7-40 The WATeR altimetry WSE time series for the three crossings of Lake Murray acquired by Envisat RA-2 on descending pass 0004 (new orbit). There is evidence of high correlation between the derived WSEs for most cycles and the potential to detect the timing and magnitude of lake fluxes. The FLY15 WSE from the in-situ gauge at Obo shows relatively high correlation with the derived Lake Murray WSE time series; however, it was not used for any retracking validation as no in-situ reference data were available within Lake Murray to derive a reliable virtual gauge.	172
Figure 7-41 Cryosat-2 SIRAL cycle acquired during high floodplain inundation associated with a La Niña wet weather period on 13 January 2012.	173
Figure 7-42 Cryosat-2 SIRAL cycle acquired during an El Niño dry weather period on 25 January 2016.	173

Figure 7-43 Cryosat-2 SIRAL WSE profiles through the Fly River floodplain for data acquired between 2012 and 2017 covering the extremes of the floodplain inundation range.	174
--	-----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1 Global databases for inland WSE data derived from satellite altimetry	8
Table 2-1 Satellite altimeter performance parameters for Envisat RA-2, SARAL/AltiKa and Cryosat-2 SIRAL (Resti et al., 1999; Bouzinac, 2010; Soussi, 2011; Quartly and Passaro, 2014; Steunou et al., 2015; Schwatke et al., 2015a; Bronner et al., 2016)	21
Table 2-2 Range and geophysical corrections applied to the measured satellite altimetry range. Corrections are added to the measured range, which is subtracted from the satellite altitude to give a surface elevation.....	29
Table 3-1 OTML river gauging stations located on the lower Ok Tedi and Fly rivers.....	37
Table 4-1 Footprint classification criteria adopted for the Landsat ETM7 and TM5 images in Figures 4-13 and 4-14. MIR, NIR and red bands are used along with NDVI. Cut-off levels for each band are determined by empirical comparison of the radiometric response and ground-truthing records.	62
Table 5-1 WSEs derived from the sub-waveforms identified in Figures 5-10 and 5-11 compared with the water level estimate derived from a neighbouring quasi-specular waveform. The comparison (values in red) indicates that the third major peak (peak 4) is the likely nadir reflector.....	86
Table 5-2 WSE status flags derived as part of the WATeR optimised retracker analysis process. Flag1 relates to the shape and nadir surface classification of the derived WSE estimate and flag2 relates to the waveform saturation with flag value being the number of gates affected in the saturated peak.	94
Table 7-1 Cloud-free Landsat scenes along with acquisition date and assigned inundation range for use in the altimetry footprint classification phase of the WATeR altimetry retracking process. Secondary scenes are used in the event of cloud or cloud shadow detected at the altimetry footprint location....	139
Table 7-2 SD and RMSE for the Envisat RA-2 and SARAL/AltiKa WSE derived using the WATeR altimetry retracking process for the three crossings of the Fly River of ascending pass 0677.	153
Table 7-3 Average SD and average number of observations derived from the Envisat RA-2 waveforms for the altimetry pass over Lake Murray. Based on the number of available observations for each crossing there is scope to implement more stringent outlier detection methodologies to improve WSE estimates.....	168

Table 7-4 Summary of derived altimetry WSE quality assessments for river and lake crossings used in the validation of the WATeR altimetry retracking process. The results derived from the Hydroweb and River & Lake global databases are included for the ARM332 and Vataiva Lake sites and are shown in italics.....	177
--	-----

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AGC	Automatic gain control
AGD66	Australian Geodetic Datum 1966
ALES	Adaptive Leading Edge Sub-waveform (retracker)
ALOS	Advanced Land Observing Satellite
AltiKa	Altimeter in Ka-band
ARM	Approximate river mile
ASAR	Advanced synthetic aperture radar
AVISO	Archiving Validation and Interpretation of Satellite Oceanographic Data
CNES	Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (National Centre for Space Study)
COG	Centre of gravity
DAC	Dynamic atmosphere correction
DAHITI	Database for Hydrological Time Series of Inland Waters
DEM	Digital elevation model
DORIS	Doppler Orbitography and Radiopositioning Integrated by Satellite
DSM	Digital surface model
ECMWF	European Centre for Medium Weather Forecast
EGM96	Earth Gravitational Model 1996
EGM2008	Earth Gravitational Model 2008
Envisat	ENVironmental SATellite
ERS-1	European Remote Sensing Satellite-1
ERS-2	European Remote Sensing Satellite-2
ESA	European Space Agency
ETM7	Enhanced Thematic Mapper (Landsat 7)
FAN	File Array Notation
GDR	Geophysical Data Record
GFO	Geosat Follow-on
GIM	Global ionospheric map
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System
GOCE	Gravity field and steady-state Ocean Circulation Explorer
GPS	Global Positioning System
GRACE	Gravity Recovery and Climate Experiment
GRRATS	Global River Radar Altimetry Time Series
HH	(SAR) horizontal transmit/horizontal receive

IDL	Interactive Data Language
IE	Individual echo
InSAR	Interferometric SAR
ITRF92	International Terrestrial Reference Frame 1992
L1B/L2	Level 1B and Level 2
JAXA	Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency
JPL	Jet Propulsion Laboratory
LEGOS	Laboratoire d'Etudes en Géophysique et Océanographie Spatiales
LEP	Leading-edge position
LRM	Low-resolution mode
LRR	Laser retro-reflector
MIR	Middle infra-red
MLE	Maximum likelihood estimator
MMSE	Minimum mean square estimator
MSL	Mean sea level
MWaPP	Multiple Waveform Persistent Peak (retracker)
MWR	Microwave radiometer
NASA	National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NDVI	Normalised Difference Vegetation Index
NDWI	Normalised Difference Water Index
NetCDF	Network Common Data Form
NIR	Near infrared
NM	Nautical mile
NPPR	Narrow Primary Peak Retracker
OCOG	Offset Centre of Gravity
OHD	Ok Tedi Height Datum
OLCI	Ocean and Land Colour Imager
OLI8	Operational Land Imager (Landsat 8)
OLTC	Altimeter Open Loop Tracking Command for Hydrology
OMG	Ok Tedi Map Grid
OSCAR	Observing Systems Capability Analysis and Review
OSTM	Ocean Surface Topography Mission
OSU	Ohio State University
OTML	Ok Tedi Mining Limited

PNG	Papua New Guinea
PNGMG94	PNG Map Grid 1994
PRF	Pulse repetition frequency
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
RA-2	Radar Altimeter 2
RANSAC	RANdom SAmple Consensus
RMSE	Root mean square error
SAR	Synthetic aperture radar
SARAL	Satellite with ARgos and AltiKa
SD	Standard deviation
SGDR	Sensor and Geophysical Data Record
SIRAL	SAR Interferometric Radar Altimeter
SMASH	Small Altimetry Satellites for Hydrology
SRAL	Synthetic Aperture Radar Altimeter
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission
SSB	Sea state bias
SSH	Sea surface height
SWH	Significant wave height
SWOT	Surface Water Ocean Topography Mission
TEC	Total electron content
TM5	Thematic Mapper (Landsat 5)
T/P	Topex/Poseidon
UCLA	University of California, Los Angeles
USGS	United States Geological Survey
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VV	(SAR) vertical transmit/vertical receive
WATeR	Waveform Adaptive Threshold Retracker
WGS84	World Geodetic System 1984
WLS	Weighted least squares
WSE	Water surface elevation

LIST OF SYMBOLS

A	waveform amplitude (OCOG and Threshold Retracker)
d	along-track distance
d_1	waveform power difference between adjacent gates
d_2	waveform power difference for a separation of 2 gates
G_{LEP}	waveform gate of the leading-edge position
G_{REF}	altimeter reference tracking gate
G_{2m}	conversion factor from gate to metres
H	satellite altitude above the reference ellipsoid
H_i	satellite altitude at time i
h_i	height above reference ellipsoid at time i
h	height above reference ellipsoid
Δh_{dac}	dynamic atmosphere correction
Δh_{earth}	solid earth tide correction
Δh_{geoid}	geoid correction
Δh_{WSE}	total range and geophysical corrections for inland waters
Δh_{load}	ocean loading tide correction
Δh_{ocean}	ocean tide correction
Δh_{SSH}	total range and geophysical corrections for sea surfaces
Δh_{pole}	geocentric pole tide correction
N	geoid–ellipsoid separation
$P(t)$	the returned power waveform as a function of time
$P_{FS}(t)$	average flat surface response
P_i	waveform power at gate i
P_N	thermal noise
P_u	waveform amplitude of Brown–Hayne retracking model
q	Threshold Retracker amplitude percentage
$q_s(t)$	surface probability density of specular points
R	correlation coefficient
R_{earth}	spherical radius of the Earth
R_i	range to reflecting surface at time i
R_{corr}	corrected altimetric range
R_{obs}	observed altimetric range
R_{obs}'	observed slant range

ΔR	range error
$rNIR$	near infrared band reflectance
$rRed$	red band reflectance
ΔR_{dry}	dry tropospheric propagation delay
ΔR_{iono}	ionospheric propagation delay
ΔR_{ssb}	sea state bias
ΔR_{wet}	wet tropospheric propagation delay
S_{d1}	standard deviation for all power differences between adjacent gates
S_{d2}	standard deviation for all power differences for a separation of 2 gates
$S_r(t)$	radar point target response
T_h	Threshold Retracker threshold level at q%
W	waveform width (OCOG and Threshold Retracker)
β_1	thermal noise of β -parameter retracking
β_2	return signal amplitude of β -parameter retracking
β_3	midpoint of leading-edge ramp of β -parameter retracking
β_4	waveform rise time of β -parameter retracking
β_5	slope of trailing edge of β -parameter retracking
δ_{si}	along track distance between t_{i-1} and t_i
φ	latitude
λ	longitude
$\frac{\partial a}{\partial s}$	satellite altitude along track variation
γ	function of the antennae beam width
ξ	waveform trailing edge slope for the Brown–Hayne retracking model
σ_c	waveform rise time for the Brown–Hayne retracking model
σ^0	backscatter coefficient (sigma0)
σ_s	slope of the waveform leading edge for the Brown–Hayne retracking model
τ	waveform epoch/time delay for the Brown–Hayne retracking model

ABSTRACT

To manage the pressure that population growth, human impact and climate change is having on the allocation of, and access to, water there is an increasing need to monitor the world's water resources, independent of infrastructure and inter-government policies. Traditionally the realm of the hydrologist, this task has relied on the deployment of in-situ gauges and instruments. Recent focus has been on the capabilities of satellite-based technologies to augment the existing hydrology in-situ network with the aim of replacing it with a global water level monitoring tool for inland rivers, lakes and wetlands.

This research has focussed on the satellite altimetry coverage of the middle Fly River floodplain as well as Lake Murray—both located in the Western Province of Papua New Guinea. The Fly River floodplain is a mine-impacted environment and monitoring of water level change through the various floodplain and wetland entities is required into the future. More than for other similar environments throughout the world there will become an increasing need to support Fly River local communities with information regarding predicted changes to inundation that may have impacts on their communities and subsistence livelihood.

The current state-of-the-art satellite altimetry analysis methodologies over heterogeneous inland waters do not meet the accuracy and reliability requirements for water surface measurement. This is particularly relevant for the relatively small river and lake systems that contribute to a typical complex floodplain or wetland system. Methodologies developed in this study enable routine, accurate and reliable extraction of water surface elevations from nadir-looking pulse-limited radar altimeters over heterogeneous inland waters. This is achieved by deconstructing the shape and form of the recorded waveform and correlating that form against external inputs so that the environmental factors that have affected the shape and form of the waveform are understood and can be addressed. The external inputs comprise a range of supporting data, including information derived from satellite imagery as well as in-situ water level observations. A process of waveform footprint classification is developed with assessment of footprint inundation extent based on image analysis from both multi-spectral and synthetic aperture radar (SAR) imagery. The methodology is extended to include a full definition of the landform cover type as well as prediction capabilities for off-nadir calm water detection.

A significant advancement over conventional processes is that waveforms, and the associated water surface elevations, are assessed based on an analysis of the waveform and

adjacent waveforms as well as the nature of the altimetry footprint rather than solely on statistical agreement of the derived water surface elevation with that derived from adjacent waveforms. This facilitates the retention of water level estimates over relatively small water bodies, where multiple, statistically consistent, estimates would not be practical. The processes developed in this research offer a methodology for the extraction of reliable water surface estimates, in both a temporal and spatial context, over heterogeneous inland waters. An optimised adaptive threshold retracker, the Waveform Adaptive Threshold Retracker, is developed as part of this study with methodology and workflow detailed in the thesis. Methods for the accurate identification of waveforms impacted by hooking and other sources of contamination are developed, along with tools for the rectification of impacts and estimation of likely contamination magnitude.

Optimised waveform retracking using the adaptive retracking methodology and workflow is validated at Envisat Radar Altimeter 2 (RA-2) and Satellite with Argos and AltiKa (SARAL/AltiKa) crossings of the Fly River and achieved by comparison of the altimetric time series with in-situ gauge data. Validation is also undertaken for floodplain sites where verified virtual in-situ gauges have been established for validation of both Envisat RA-2 and SARAL/AltiKa-derived elevations. This comparison has been undertaken for the 10 years of Envisat RA-2 data acquisitions and the pre-drifting phase cycles of SARAL/AltiKa data. Elevation profiles from Envisat RA-2, SARAL/AltiKa and Cryosat-2 SAR Interferometer Radar Altimeter (SIRAL) altimeters have been derived across both the Fly River floodplain and Lake Murray and used to assess the proposed retracking methodologies for the derivation of floodplain gradients and differential elevations between various floodplain water bodies.

The methodologies developed offer potential for the reprocessing of a significant archive of data from nadir-looking pulse-limited radar altimeters as well as supporting analyses of data from currently operational altimeters into the future. The work undertaken in this study has facilitated tangible improvements in the quality and quantity of water level estimates across complex inland water environments.